



22/11/2011

MNA workshop Techniques and Research

Snowman, Paris, November 7, 2011

MNA ... Latest developments in techniques

- » Abiotic conversions of contaminants by soil minerals
- » Molecular analyses by PCR and QPCR
- » Stable isotope analyses (CSIA)
- » *In-situ microcosms* (eg *Bactrap* tool) for field evaluation of potential for MNA
- » Measuring fluxes versus point concentrations
- » Reactive transport modeling
- » Treatment trains : Combining MNA with ENA and/or source removal

MNA ... Latest developments in techniques

- » **Abiotic conversions by soil minerals or hydrolysis**
- » For CAH or Cr(VI) by minerals that contain reduced iron eg FeS
 - » Relevance? Relative degradation rates?
 - » Sometimes considered
- » **Molecular analyses by PCR and QPCR**
 - » DNA (including dead material)
 - » Established technology, eg Dehalococccoides genes, VC-reductases
 - » R&D needs?
 - » Other pathways (microbes, enzymes)?
 - » Other pollutants eg MTBE? 1,1-DCA?
 - » Overview of commercial labs and specification of relevant primers needed

MNA ... Latest developments in techniques

- » **Stable isotope analyses (CSIA)**
 - » not only C, but also H, N and Cl stable isotopes
 - » Evidence for occurrence of NA and potentially also on extent of biodegradation
 - » Fractionation factors depend on specific bacteria that may degrade the same pollutants
 - » Different enrichment factors for different steps in sequential and parallel degradation pathways (modeling required?)
 - » Multiple sources are real problem. What about shifts in NAPIs?
 - » Expert knowledge required (uncertainties) → guidance needed & training?
 - » EPA Guide on CSIA (2008)
 - » How convincing? Variable outcome in the field. Survey of experience?

MNA ... Latest developments in techniques

- » *In-situ microcosms (eg Bacstrap tool)* for field evaluation of potential of NA
 - » In-situ sorbent samplers to demonstrate presence of suitable microbiology :
 - » QPCR of specific catabolic genes;
 - » phospholipid analyses
 - » In situ evaluation of pollutant degradation with stable isotope modified substrates & analyses
 - » Typical in well, i.e. in groundwater only → representative for soil?
 - » Recent development → Inventory of experience?
- » *Laboratory microcosm tests*
- » Wider analytical scope (TEA, all metabolites)
- » Closed mass balance
- » Flexible setup allows for manipulations
- » Abiotic conversions by soil can be taken into account
- » Strict anaerobic execution critical, representative sample locations

Under-recognized pollutants?

- » More important for MNA than for active remediation
- » Chlorinated aliphatics:
 - » 1,2,3-TCP (trichloro-propane)
 - » 1,4-dioxane (recalcitrant)
- » petroleum hydrocarbons
 - » Trimethylbenzenes
 - » MTBE (recalcitrant), ETBE, TBA (accumulates)
- » MGP sites
 - » NSO heterocyclic compounds
 - » Phenols, cresols, thiocyanate
- » Dissolved As and Mn in reduced groundwater plumes as result of MNA-processes

Reactive transport modeling & mass balance

- » Simple (one dimensional) models , eg Bioscreen
 - » Used more often in USA → satisfactory or too simplistic?
- » More extensive models eg RT3D
 - » Used less frequently (costly)
 - » When required?
 - » Only for complex sites when it allows for reduction of the intensity of the monitoring programme

Sustainability of MNA?

- » Balance between contaminant mass and electron acceptor or electron donor availability
- » Measure eg bio-available iron(III) for MNA of TPH and carbon sources for CAH?
- » Estimation of mass flux from source area into plume and its evolution in time?
 - » Processes
 - » Measurement
 - » Modeling?
 - » This risks of becoming too complicated for average sites?
- » What if environmental conditions are not stable?
change in direction of groundwater;
stability of reduced metal precipitates (MS, Cr(III))?

Mass fluxes for assessment of MNA

MNA in the vadose zone?

- » Fluxes of contaminants versus TEA/EA are useful for mass balance estimation (mass/time)
- » Measurement of fluxes more complicated/expensive
 - » By pumping planes?
 - » By other methods? (passive samplers)?
 - » Beware of punching too many holes in sites!
- » MNA of contaminant vapours in the vadose zone?
 - » Methods available?
 - » Eg concentration trends by soil gas measurement
 - » Incorporated in existing protocols?
 - » Again risk of additional preferential pathways

MNA in treatment trains

- » Trend: application of MNA as passive part of **treatment train**, eg
 - » active source removal and MNA for plume
 - » ENA for plume followed by MNA to reduce cleanup time frames
- » Need for protocols to decide when to combine and when to switch over from ENA to MNA? Or source removal → ENA → MNA
- » Differentiation of protocols for technology screening and monitoring in early phase and long term?
- » Becomes too complicated?

Additional comments

- » Risk-based final remediation goals are important (policy)
- » List of acceptance criteria for MNA in different countries would be useful
- » Develop a European MNA Protocol
- » Checklist of techniques / a combination of techniques applicable with respect to monitoring , modelling, ecotoxicity tests;
- » Application of passive samplers (both for chemical and microbiological data)
- » Do the molecular analyses (MA) really gives a cost-effective surplus on understanding NA processes? Or can CSIA give all the answers instead?
- » Development of tools for more heterogeneous aquifers f.i. karstic aquifers